

## University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez Electrical and Computer Engineering Department ICOM 5047 (Capstone) – Fall 2013

## PEER WORK EVALUATION FORM

Nan	ne o	or Teammate Being Evaluated: Date	
Mv	nam	ne: Project Title	
		110/000 1180	
Chec		1. Quality of Work	Write Score
5	Ì	Work developed could be used as delivered, providing all specified functionality and compatibility	
4		Work developed required minor improvements but other than that, worked as expected	
3		Work developed contained many flaws that delayed the work of others, but after all were fixable	
2		Other team members had to redo most his/her work and only minor parts could be used	
1		Did not produce usable work for the project	
2. Originality & Creativity			
5		Contributed with many good ideas for the project and was always participative in brainstorming session	าร
4		Contributed with a few good ideas for the project and was usually participative in brainstorming sessions	
3		Gave one idea or two, some were workable and occasionally participated in brainstorming	1
2		Rarely participated in brainstorming sessions and his/her ideas were never appropriate for the project	,
1		Never participated in any brainstorming session or ever provided any idea for the project	,
3. Responsibility			
5		All parts assigned were completed on time	
4		Most parts were completed on time and only in a few occasions had delays in completing his/her part	1
3		About half the time assigned chores were done on time, others were late for several days	1
2		Most assigned chores were not completed on time, with delays lasting one week or more	,
1		Never completed a task on time and only offered excuses for uncompleted work	,
		4. Punctuality and Reliability	
5	П	Always attended on time to all group meetings and followed-up on all made commitments	
4	$\vdash$	Followed-up on most commitments and occasionally could be late to a group meeting	
3		Completed tasks if reminded, was frequently late to meetings and occasionally missed a meeting or two	
2			
		Did not complete most assigned tasks, rarely on time to meetings and frequently did not show up	
1		Could not be counted on. Missed most meetings and rarely or never followed up on commitments	
5. Teamwork and Support			
5		Encouraged and listened respectfully to other's opinions even when different from own, willing to do work	ij
4		Did his/her chores as assigned but was not willing to accept anything else. Indifferent to other's opinions	ij
3		Although contributed, tended to sit back and let others take control or tended to "take over" interfering with others work	1
2		Extremely argumentative, unable to accept criticism and contributed with less parts of the project than expected	ji
1		Was rude and disrespectful to teammates. Unable to get along with others. Minimal or no contribution	
6. Cost Sharing			
5		Always willing to cover his/her share of the project cost and provided alternatives to keep costs under control	
4		Although willing to cover his/her share of the cost was not considerate to other's burden and made us overspend	i)
3		Had to be reminded of providing his/her share of the cost but at the end responded with his/her part	i)
2		Had to be reminded of providing his/her part and at the end there were some expenses for which never responded	,
1		Leaned back with the cost sharing and only contributed minimally or not responded at all	
7. Overall Evaluation			
5	L	I would definitely choose to work again with this person over anybody else	
4		I would not mind working with this person again	
3		I could work with this person again if he/she did different:	
2		I 'd rather not work with this person unless I had no other choice	
1	Ш	I would never work with this person again under any circumstance	
TOTAL EVALUATION (Max points: 35)			

(Provide additional comments on the back of this from)