

ICOM 5217 and ICOM 5047 (Microp Interf and Capstone) – Spring 2013

PEER WORK EVALUATION FORM

Name of Teammate Being Evaluated:_____ Date _____

My name: _	
------------	--

_____ Project Title _____

Che On		1. Quality of Work	Write Score	
5		Work developed could be used as delivered, providing all specified functionality and compatibility	00010	
4		Work developed required minor improvements but other than that, worked as expected		
3		Work developed contained many flaws that delayed the work of others, but after all were fixable		
3 2		Other team members had to redo most his/her work and only minor parts could be used		
1		Did not produce usable work for the project		
2. Originality & Creativity				
5		Contributed with many good ideas for the project and was always participative in brainstorming sessions		
4		Contributed with a few good ideas for the project and was usually participative in brainstorming sessions		
3 2		Gave one idea or two, some were workable and occasionally participated in brainstorming		
2		Rarely participated in brainstorming sessions and his/her ideas were never appropriate for the project		
1		Never participated in any brainstorming session or ever provided any idea for the project		
3. Responsibility				
5		All parts assigned were completed on time		
4		Most parts were completed on time and only in a few occasions had delays in completing his/her part		
3		About half the time assigned chores were done on time, others were late for several days		
2		Most assigned chores were not completed on time, with delays lasting one week or more		
1		Never completed a task on time and only offered excuses for uncompleted work		
		4. Punctuality and Reliability		
5		Always attended on time to all group meetings and followed-up on all made commitments		
4		Followed-up on most commitments and occasionally could be late to a group meeting		
3		Completed tasks if reminded, was frequently late to meetings and occasionally missed a meeting or two		
2		Did not complete most assigned tasks, rarely on time to meetings and frequently did not show up		
1		Could not be counted on. Missed most meetings and rarely or never followed up on commitments		
		5. Teamwork and Support		
5		Encouraged and listened respectfully to other's opinions even when different from own, willing to do work		
4		Did his/her chores as assigned but was not willing to accept anything else. Indifferent to other's opinions		
3		Although contributed, tended to sit back and let others take control or tended to "take over" interfering with others work		
2		Extremely argumentative, unable to accept criticism and contributed with less parts of the project than expected		
1		Was rude and disrespectful to teammates. Unable to get along with others. Minimal or no contribution		
6. Cost Sharing				
5		Always willing to cover his/her share of the project cost and provided alternatives to keep costs under control		
4		Although willing to cover his/her share of the cost was not considerate to other's burden and made us overspend		
3		Had to be reminded of providing his/her share of the cost but at the end responded with his/her part		
2		Had to be reminded of providing his/her part and at the end there were some expenses for which never responded		
1		Leaned back with the cost sharing and only contributed minimally or not responded at all		
7. Overall Evaluation				
5		I would definitely choose to work again with this person over anybody else		
4		I would not mind working with this person again		
3		I could work with this person again if he/she did different:		
2		I 'd rather not work with this person unless I had no other choice		
1		I would never work with this person again under any circumstance		
		TOTAL EVALUATION (Max points: 35)		

(Provide additional comments on the back of this from)