



ORAL TEST "HAPPY HOUR 1" EVALUATION

Student's Name: _____

Project Title: _____

Area in the project where the student spent most of the time: _____

Design

- Documentation (30)
 - No documentation supporting the design (-30)
 - Documentation is not appropriate (not completed) (-25)
 - There is documentation but it is flawed. Badly designed. (-20)
 - Student presents documentation but not in a professional manner (-15)
 - ☐ Flowcharts, class diagrams, and any other software or firmware diagrams, as well as hardware schematics must be done using a CAD program. Hand drawn diagrams are not accepted. Must follow standards and conventions.
 - If the student is designing software,
 - ☐ he/she did not present the use case diagrams (if applicable) (-15)
 - ☐ he/she did not present the class diagrams (if applicable) (-15)
 - ☐ he/she did not present the sequence diagram (if applicable) (-15)
 - ☐ he/she did not define the interfaces (if applicable) (-15) ☐
 - he/she did not show the flowchart (if applicable) (-15) ☐ the use case diagrams are inadequate(if applicable) (-10)
 - ☐ the class diagrams are inadequate(if applicable) (-10)
 - ☐ the interaction diagrams are inadequate(if applicable) (-10)
 - ☐ the interfaces are inadequate(if applicable) (-10) ☐
 - the flowchart are inadequate (if applicable) (-10)
 - ☐ the ER diagram is missing (if applicable) (-15)
 - ☐ the ER diagram is not correct (if applicable) (-10)
 - If the student is designing firmware, he/she did not show the flowchart (-15)
 - If the student is designing firmware, the flowchart is inadequate (-15)
 - If the student is designing hardware,
 - ☐ he/she did not present global detailed hardware schematics (-15)
 - ☐ he/she did not present detailed module hardware schematics (-15)
 - ☐ he/she presented inadequate global detailed hardware schematic (-10)
 - ☐ he/she presented inadequate detailed module hardware schematics (-10)
- Specifications (30)
 - Student's part does not comply with original proposal or with corresponding approved change forms. (-30)
 - Student's design does not follow proposed standards. (-20) ○ Student's design does not follow required standards. (-20)
 - Student's has not started assembling Hardware without reasonable justification. (-20)
 - Student's has not started coding Software without reasonable justification. (-20)
 - The student has not evaluated different alternatives (-15)

- Understanding (25)
 - The student does not demonstrate understanding of his/her design (-25)
 - The student does not demonstrate understanding of how his/her design integrates with the whole system (-20)
 - The student does not demonstrate understanding of the scope of the project (-15)
 - The student cannot answer questions demonstrating proficiency in his/her part (-15)
 - The student does not show knowledge required for his/her part of the design (-15)
 - The student does not describe the problems associated with the design and how he/she solved them (-10)
 - The student does not know the power consumption (-10)
- Proactivity (15)
 - The student does not know the price or suppliers of his/her part (-15)
 - The students has not ordered the parts (if justified, ok) (-10)
 - The student has not kept the schedule according to the Gantt chart and there is no valid justification of why (-10)
 - If project manager, he/she has not followed the status of or maintained communication with the group or updated Gantt chart (-10)Comments:

Contribution (Factor_____)

- ___Enough contribution (Multiply the total number of points by 1)
- ___Some contribution (Multiply the total number of points by 0.7)
- ___Not enough contribution (Multiply the total amount of points by 0.5)
- ___Not contribution (Multiply the total of points by 0.0)

H/H
Grade

--