More examples of mathematical proofs

Lecture 4 ICOM 4075

Proofs by construction

- A proof by construction is one in which an object that proves the truth value of an statement is built, or found
- There are two main uses of this technique:
 - <u>Proof</u> that a statement with an existential quantifier is true
 - And <u>disproof by counterexample</u>: this is a proof that a statement with a **universal quantifier**, is false



Statement: "There is a prime number between 45 and 54"

<u>Proof</u>: Search for an object: we examine one by one, the numbers between 45 and 54, until a prime is found. If no prime were found, the statement would be false.

Number	Is it prime?
45	No, because it is divisible by 5
46	No, because is divisible by 2
47	Yes, 47 is divisible only by 1 and 47

Conclusion: the statement is **true** (no need to check the rest of the numbers from 48 to 54)

Note the universal quantifier: "For all a, b, and d integer"

Example 2

<u>Statement</u>: "If $d \mid a \cdot b$ then $d \mid a$ or $d \mid b$ "

<u>Statement</u>: "If $d \mid a \cdot b$ then $d \mid a$ or $d \mid b$ " <u>Proof</u>: By counterexample.

- 1. Let d = 6, a = 2 and b = 3
- 2. Then, $a \cdot b = 6$ and thus, $d \mid a \cdot b$
- 3. But d = 6 does not divide a = 2, and
- 4. d = 6 **does not** divide b = 3

Therefore, the statement is false

<u>Statement</u>: "Let m and n be integers. Then, there is no integer k such that

(3m+2)(3n+2) = 3k+2''

WHAT KIND OF STATEMENT IS THIS?

(doesn't look like an implication)

Statement: "Let m and n be integers. Then, there is no integer k such that (3m+2)(3n+2) = 3k+2''**Let's parse it** (don't forget the quantifiers) A(m, n) : "m and n are integers" and B(m, n, k) : "(3m+2)(3n+2) = 3k+2" Statement:

(For all m, n) A(m, n) (For all k) Not B(m, n, k)

As suspected, this is not an implication. So, neither a direct nor a contrapositive proof is possible

Also, the statement is "negative" in the sense that ensures that a property is not possible. This suggest a contradiction: What is wrong if the property is possible?

<u>Negation of the statement</u>: (the property is true)

(There are m, n) A(m, n) (There is k) B(m, n, k)

The negation of the statement implies a false statement

Proof:

- 1. By hypothesis: m, n, and k are integers
- 2. (3m+2)(3n+2) = 9mn + 6(m+n) + 4 = 3(3mn + 2(m+n)) + 4
- 3. It follows that 3(3mn + 2(m+n)) + 4 = 3k + 2
- 4. And thus, k = 3mn + 2(m+n) 2/3
- 5. So, there is an integer that is equal to the sum of an integer and a negative fraction

Statement: "The sum of an even number and an odd number is always odd"

Again, the same important question: WHAT KIND OF STATEMENT IS THIS?

Statement: "The sum of an even number and an odd number is always odd"

Let's rephrase it:

"If x is even and y is odd, then x + y is odd" Makes sense?

Yes, indeed. So, the statement is an implication. And the proof is direct

Proof:

- Since x is even, then x = 2k, for some natural k.
- Since y is odd, then y = 2q + 1, for some natural q.
- 3. Thus, x + y = 2k + 2q + 1 = 2(k + q) + 1.
- Since (k + q) is a natural number, x + y is an odd number.

Example 5: just another direct proof

Statement: "If d | (a + b) and d | a, then d | b"



Example 5: just another direct proof

<u>Statement</u>: "If d | (a + b) and d | a, then d | b" Be careful: The <u>hypothesis</u> is "d | (a + b) and d | a". <u>Proof</u>: Direct.

- 1. Since d | (a + b), $k \cdot d = a + b$, for some integer k.
- 2. Since $d \mid a, q \cdot d = a$, for some integer q.
- 3. Thus, $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{b}$.
- 4. And therefore, $(k q) \cdot d = b$.
- 5. Since k q is an integer, d divides b.

Statement: "m | n and n | m if and only if n = m or n = - m."

> Here is also clear that this is an IF AND ONLY IF STATEMENT So you only have to...

- Statement: "m | n and n | m if and only if n = m
 or n = m."
- <u>Recall that</u>: As all if and only if statement, this statement consists of two implications:
 (a) "If m | n and n | m then, n = m or n = m"
 (b) "If n = m or n = m then, m | n and n | m"
 We will prove them separately.

Statement (a)

Proof: direct.

- 1. The hypothesis is: "m | n and n | m". Therefore,
- 2. $k \cdot m = n$ and $q \cdot n = m$ for some integers k and q, respectively.
- 3. By replacing the second equation in the first one we get $k \cdot q \cdot n = n$.
- 4. By dividing by n we get $k \cdot q = 1$.
- 5. Thus, either k = q = 1 or k = q = -1. But,
- 6. If k = q = 1 m = n, and if k = q = -1, then m = -n.

Statement (b)

Proof:

- 1. The hypothesis is now "n = m or n = -m".
- 2. Assume first that n = m.
- 3. Then, n divides m since $1 \cdot n = m$; and
- 4. m divides n since $1 \cdot m = n$, as well.
- 5. Assume now that n = -m.
- 6. Then, n divides m since $-1 \cdot n = m$; and
- 7. m divides n since $-1 \cdot m = n$, as well.

Example 7: Recall our first proof by exhaustion

In the previous lecture we had the statement: "If n is an integer and $2 \le n \le 7$, then $q = n^2 + 2$ is not divisible by 4", which we proved to be true by exhaustion, using the table:

n	q	Divisible by 4?
2	6	No
3	11	No
4	18	No
5	27	No
6	38	No
7	51	No

Example 7 (continuation)

- In the same lecture we pointed out that the statement:
 - "If n is an integer, then $n^2 + 2$ is not divisible by 4"
 - cannot be proved by exhaustion since it involves infinitely many objects (integers).
- Next is a proof for this statement.

Example 7 (continuation)

- <u>Statement</u>: "If n is an integer then n² + 2 is not divisible by 4"
- <u>Proof</u>: By contradiction. The negation of the statement is:
 - "n is an integer and $n^2 + 2$ is divisible by 4"
- This is now our <u>hypothesis</u>. As a handy remark, recall that since n is an integer, n may be either even or odd

Example 7: the proof

- 1. Assume first that n is even. Then n = 2m, for some integer m
- 2. Thus, $n^2 + 2 = (2m)^2 + 2 = 4m^2 + 2$
- 3. Since $n^2 + 2$ is divisible by 4, we have that
- 4. $4m^2 + 2 = 4k$, for some integer k.
- 5. By dividing both sides by 2 we get
- 6. $2m^2 + 1 = 2k$, k and m^2 integers.
- 7. So, there is an odd number that is equal to an even number (The conclusion is false)

Example 7: the proof

- Assume now that n is odd. Then n = 2m + 1, for some integer m
- 2. Thus, $n^2 + 2 = (2m + 1)^2 + 2 = 4m^2 + 4m + 2$
- 3. Since $n^2 + 2$ is divisible by 4, we have that
- 4. $4m^2 + 4m + 2 = 4k$, for some integer k.
- 5. By dividing both sides by 2 we get
- 6. $2m^2 + 2m + 1 = 2(m^2 + m) + 1 = 2k$
- 7. So again, there is an odd number that is equal to an even number

Summary of Lectures 3 and 4

- Revision of the concepts of integer, natural number, divisible numbers, even, odd, and prime numbers.
- Notions of mathematical statement and mathematical proofs
- Types of mathematical proofs and examples:
 - Direct proofs
 - Proof by exhaustion
 - Use of the contrapositive form of the implication
 - Proof by contradiction
 - If and only if proofs
 - Proofs by construction and their use as counterexamples

Exercises: Prove

- 1. If $(3n)^2$ is even, then n is even.
- 2. If $d | (d \cdot a + b)$, then d | b.
- 3. $x \cdot y$ is odd if and only if x is odd and y is odd.
- 4. Every odd integer between 2 and 26 is either prime or the product of two primes.
- 5. If x and z are even numbers then, 4 divides $(x z)^2$

Exercises

- Is the statement "If d divides (a + b) or d divides a, then d divides b" true or false? Give a proof or provide a counterexample
- 7. Is the statement "If d divides (a + b + c) and d divides a and b, then d divides c" true or false? Give a proof or provide a counterexample
- 8. Parse and prove the statement: "For each integer m there is an integer k such that $(4m + 3)^2 = 2k + 9$ "
- 9. Parse and prove: "There is no integer k such that $(4m + 3)^2 = 2(k + 3)$ "